

US005256220A

United States Patent [19]

3,484,486 12/1969 Grigor et al. 260/563 3,629,338 12/1971 Martin 149/88 X

3,700,393 10/1972 Mueller 60/214

3,700,723 10/1972 Coon et al. 149/88 X

3,631,155 12/1971

3,634,158 1/1972

Smiley 260/453

Camp 149/88

Baroody et al.

Patent Number: [11]

5,256,220

Date of Patent: [45]

Oct. 26, 1993

| - | | | | | |
|------|---------------|--|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| [54] | LIOUID M | IONOPROPELLANTS | 3,705,197 | 12/1972 | Kaplan et al 149/88 X |
| [] | - | | 3,751,476 | | Adolph et al 149/92 X |
| [75] | Inventors: | Edward E. Baroody, Bryans Rd.; | 3,770,795 | 11/1973 | White 149/88 X |
| | | Horst G. Adolph, Silver Spring; | 3,778,319 | 12/1973 | |
| | | Mortimer J. Kamlet, Rockville; | 3,845,104 | 10/1974 | Gilligan 149/92 X |
| | | Robert C. Gill, White Plains; Herman | 3,845,105 | 10/1974 | Gilligan 149/92 X |
| | | S. Haiss, Forest Heights, all of Md. | 3,873,617 | 3/1975 | Adolph et al 149/92 X |
| | | Di Italisi, I Olobi Iloigino, all ol lilo. | 3,907,907 | 9/1975 | Frankel et al 149/92 X |
| [73] | Assignee: | The United States of America as | 3,962,349 | 6/1976 | Adolph 149/88 X |
| | - | represented by the Secretary of the | 4,026,739 | | Reitlinger 149/88 |
| | | Navy, Washington, D.C. | 4,048,219 | 9/1977 | Adolph 560/156 |
| | | • | 4,214,929 | 7/1980 | Camp et al 149/88 |
| [21] | Appl. No.: | 101,402 | 4,219,374 | | Cziesla et al 149/75 |
| [22] | Filed: | Dec. 3, 1979 | 4,292,098 | | Mastroianni et al 149/88 |
| [22] | Filed: | Dec. 3, 1979 | 4,764,231 | | Slawinski et al 149/88 |
| [51] | Int. Cl.5 | C06B 25/00 | 4,988,397 | 1/1991 | Adolph et al 149/88 |
| [52] | | 149/88 ; 149/92 | D | | 74 A & \$601a |
| [58] | | arch 149/88, 92 | | | Edward A. Miller |
| | | | Attorney, Age | nt, or Fi | rm—John D. Lewis |
| [56] | | References Cited | [67] | | A DOTTO A CYT |
| | IIS I | PATENT DOCUMENTS | [57] | | ABSTRACT |
| | | | A novel form | nulation | of liquid propellants comprising a |
| | 3,116,188 12/ | 1963 Austin | | | gy ingredients consisting of bis(2- |
| | 3,387,033 6/ | 1968 Talbott et al 260/583 | | | l)amine, bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroe- |
| | 3,432,554 3/ | 1969 Peters et al | | | 2,2,2-trinitroethyl)formal together |
| | 3,479,404 11/ | 1969 Smith et al | | | wid finals and propellants to create |

8 Claims, No Drawings

with conventional liquid fuels and propellants to create

a synergistic formulation having new and unexpected

physical and chemical properties.

LIQUID MONOPROPELLANTS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

It is well known and conventional to use Otto fuels and other liquid fuels to propel torpedoes and other solid projectiles.

It is likewise common in the liquid propellant art to utilize liquid propellants for guns as well as for rocket 10 combined with a lower flame temperature).

There are a number of typical problems with these prior art fuels and liquid propellants including low impetus, high flame temperatures and poor gas production per unit mass of fuel.

Other liquid fuels and propellants are very sensitive to shock and present safety problems. Most safety problems with liquid propellants are caused by the sensitivity to temperature and pressure of the material involved.

It is further well known in the art that some liquid propellants are extremely sensitive to shock. In addition many conventional explosives and propellants lack sufficient thermal stability for use in high temperature environments.

Conventional liquid propellants are less stable and more sensitive to shock than the formulations made according to the instant invention.

In the Otto Fuel and Otto Fuel II formulations that 30 are presently used by the navy as torpedo propellants there are drawbacks, primarily because these fuels are extremely corrosive and present health hazards if leakage is allowed to occur. The fumes from Otto Fuel II are extremely toxic to personnel in the area.

Accordingly, it is one object of the invention to formulate liquid propellants, that can be easily formulated and manufactured in commercial quantities.

It is also a primary object to provide Otto Fuel II formulations that combine better performance charac- 40 teristics with lower toxicity.

It is another object of the present invention to formulate novel mixtures and compositions of liquid propellants that have improved physical and chemical properties.

It is a further object of this invention to incorporate and utilize several high energy ingredients in a number of conventional liquid fuels and propellants, to improve the energetic characteristics of the liquid propellants.

It is another object of this invention to formulate 50 liquid propellants that have superior thermodynamic properties and characteristics that give new and unexpected results.

It is a further object to formulate novel propellants 55 and other similar materials including liquid propellants so as to yield improved safety characteristics.

It is still a further object of this invention to formulate novel mixtures of liquid propellants with better DTA curves, improved test data and oven times and better 60 range of from 5 to 75% by weight of the mixture, toxicauto-ignition times when compared with conventional propellant materials.

It is a still further object of this invention to formulate torpedo propellants by increasing energetic properties while decreasing toxicity.

It is another object of this invention to prepare formulations that are less hazardous to personnel who are in close proximity to said Otto Fuel formulations.

It is a still further object of this invention to formulate liquid fuels and propellants that have greatly superior cavity drop test results.

It is another object of this invention to prepare and use formulations of liquid propellants that have greatly lessened sensitivity to shock.

It is another object of this invention to produce formulations of liquid propellants that have greatly increased impetus (foot, pounds per pound) while being

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention comprises the product of formulation of a high energy ingredient mixed together with con-15 ventional liquid fuels and propellants. The formulated product yields new and unexpected results. The high energy ingredient is a compound usually selected from the group consisting of bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)amine, bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)formal, and bis(2,2,2trinitroethyl)formal. Equivalent compounds selected from Table 1 may be substituted for these components, although the results may not be the same as with each compound but will prove satisfactory.

In most cases the high energy ingredient is present in an amount varying between 5% and 75% of the total mixture.

In general, the use of a compound selected from the group consisting of bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)amine, bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)formal, and bis(2,2,2-trinitroethyl)formal, when mixed with well-known liquid fuels and propellants, will yield a formulated product that will have physical and chemical properties that are superior to either the high energy ingredient or the conventional fuels and propellants.

PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

There are several preferred embodiments of the invention. The operative compounds are set forth and disclosed in Table I together with some energy factors.

In one preferred embodiment, Otto Fuel, a well known liquid propellant used by the U.S. Navy for torpedo propulsion can be formulated with one or more compounds selected from the group consisting of bis(2fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)amine, bis(2,-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)formal and bis(2,2,2-trinitroethyl)formal.

TABLE I

| Illus | strations of the High Energy Ingredient used in the Preferred Embodiment Form | that may be ulations |
|---------------|---|------------------------------|
| Abbrev. | Name | H/° 298.15° K. K cal/mole |
| FEFO | Bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)formal | -179.8 |
| BFDNA TEFO | Bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)amine Bis(2,2,2-trinitroethyl)formal | 126.95 96.40 |

The resulting product of the formulation has much higher impetus than Otto Fuel II alone while increasing burning rates and combustion properties. In each instance where the high energy ingredient is used in the ity of Otto Fuel II is decreased significantly.

The experimental data illustrating the results of the combination of bis(2,2,-trinitroethyl)formal, hereinafter referred to as TEFO is set forth in Tables II, III, IV, V, 65 VI, VII and VIII. In another preferred embodiment, diethyl oxalate is formulated with a compound selected from the group consisting of bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)amine, bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)formal

bis(2,2,2-trinitroethyl)formal. This experimental data best illustrates the combination of mixing TEFO with diethyl oxalate and is set forth in Tables V, VI, and VII.

In another preferred embodiment a compound selected from the group consisting of bis(2-fluoro-2,2dinitroethyl)amine, bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)formal and bis(2,2,2-trinitroethyl)formal is mixed with acetone and methylethyl ketone. The experimental data illustrating the results of the combination of TEFO, acetone and methylethyl ketone is set forth in Table VIII.

High Energy Ingredients Formulated With Otto Fuel II

Otto Fuel II is a liquid fuel that is now used extensively by the U.S. Navy to propel torpedoes.

Surprisingly, it has been found that formulation of 15 one or more of the high energy ingredients selected from Table I when mixed with Otto Fuel II will yield high energy propellants having new and unexpected properties.

Preparation and Testing of Otto Fuel II

Otto Fuel II is comprised of 2-nitrodiphenylamine prepared in accordance with MIL-N-3399; di-n-butyl sebacate; prepared to conform with DOD-B-82669; and propylene glycol dinitrate that is prepared to conform 25 with the requirements of DOD-P-82671.

The chemical composition of Otto Fuel II is: A mixture of propylene glycol dinitrate, 2-nitrodiphenylamine, di-n-butyl sebacate.

| CHEMICAL COM | POSITION OF | OTTO FUE | LII |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Component | Minimum (% by wt) | Maximum (% by wt) | Test method |
| Propylene glycol dinitrate | 75.8 | 76.2 | 4.5.2.1 |
| 2-Nitrodiphenylamine | 1.4 | 1.6 | 4.5.2.2 |
| Di-n-butyl sebacate | 22.2 | 22.8 | 4.5.2.3 |
| Sodium | | 0.8 | 4.5.2.5 |

The detailed method of testing the propylene glycol 40 dinitrate for content and quality is set forth in Metail in MIL-O-82652(OS), pages 4 and 5.

The detailed method of testing the #2nitrodiphenylamine for content and quality is set forth in detail in MIL-O-82652(OS), pages 5, 6 and 7.

The detailed method of testing the di-n-butyl sebacate content and quality is set forth in MIL-0-82672(OS), pages 7 and 8.

The detailed method of testing the sodium content and quality set forth in OD 43852 and on page 8 of 50 ing points of the TEFO - Otto Fuel II formulations. MIL-O-82672(OS).

EXAMPLE 1

TEFO is prepared by first producing trinitroethanol. In a second step trinitroethanol is reacted with para- 55 results are indicated for four (4) different formulations formaldehyde and the crude product is purified.

Typical Laboratory Preparation

1. Preparation of trinitroethanol (TNEOH):

The following ingredients were placed in a three- 60 neck 250 ml flask equipped with a stirrer, thermometer, dropping funnel, and a bath for heating or cooling:

| Aqueous nitroform (33.27% nitroform) = | 135.7 grams | (0.30 moles) | - 6 |
|--|-------------|-----------------|-----|
| Carbon tetrachloride = | 63.4 grams | | |
| methylene chloride = | 53.4 grams | | |
| paraformaldehyde = | 10.4 grams | (0.33 | |

-continued

moles)

Sulfuric acid (51.5 g of 37.5% acid) was slowly added from the dropping funnel into the stirring mixture while maintaining temperature of 35° C. After a one hour reaction time, the mixture was separated into two layers, aqueous and organic, using a separatory funnel. 10 Then the aqueous layer was extracted four times with methylene chloride (40.1 g portions) and discarded. The organic layer, containing most of the TNEO was combined with the extracts and the resulting mixture dried by azeotropic distillation. During this drying, the temperature of the solution was not allowed to exceed 50° C. A small portion of the dried TNEOH solution was used to determine the reaction yield. Vacuum removal of the diluent from the sample (14.6 g) at room temperature left 3.7g of the TNEOH (a white solid with melting point range of 58°-62° C). The TNEOH was formed in a 90% yield.

2. Preparation of TEFO:

Paraformaldehyde (3.9 g) was dissolved in reagent grade concentrated sulfuric acid (110.4 g of 96.5% H₂SO₄) and then slowly dripped into the well stirred TNEOH solution (44.9 g of TNEOH) prepared in the first step, above. The temperature was maintained at 20° to 25° C. and the stirring continued for one hour. After 30 this time interval, the sulfuric acid layer and the organic layer were separated with the aid of a separatory funnel. The acid layer was extracted once with methylene chloride (66.5 g) and then discarded. Next the extract was combined with the organic layer. This combined solution was washed twice, four times, and three times with 50 ml each of, respectively, water, 3% aqueous sodium bicarbonate and water. After removal of the diluents by using a rotary evaporator and vacuum, an off-white solid remained. This solid, having a melting point range of 59°-61° C., was obtained in a 78% yield.

The crude product was purified by employing a precipitation technique. It was dissolved in ethanol (100 ml) and then precipitated by adding water (100 ml). Filtration and vacuum drying resulted in a 60% total 45 yield of a white solid, which melted at 64° C.

The TEFO produced was mixed with conventional Otto Fuel II at room temperature, in the proportions by weight shown in Table II.

The The results shown in this table indicate the melt-

The results in Table III indicate the heats of explosion of four (4) different formulations of TEFO and Otto Fuel II.

In the second section of Table III cavity drop tests of Otto Fuel II and TEFO.

The results in Table IV indicate the quality of gas (Moles/100 grs), Impetus (ft-lb/lb) and ISp (lb sec/lb) for 16 different formulations of TEFO and Otto Fuel II.

EXAMPLE 2

TEFO as prepared in Example 1 is mixed with conventional diethyl oxalate at room temperature. The results shown in Table V illustrate eight (8) different 65 formulations of diethyl oxalate and TEFO.

The gas generated (moles/100 grams); impetus (ftlb/lb) and Isp (lb-sec/lb) disclosed in Table V clearly indicate new and unexpected results in chemical and physical properties flowing from the formulation of Otto Fuel II with TEFO.

EXAMPLE 3

TEFO is mixed with a number of conventional sol- 5 vents including diethyl oxalate, methyethyl ketone and acetone. The results, based upon eight (8) different formulations is set forth in Table VI. The results in Table VII indicate the thermodynamic and test data on TEFO, diethyl oxalate, methyl ethyl ketone and ace- 10

EXAMPLE 4

TEFO, acetone, methylethyl ketone were mixed and tested. The experimental data is set forth in Table VIII. 15

The examples are only intended to be illustrative, since obvious modifications and equivalents in the invention will be evident to those skilled in the chemical arts, and propose to be bound solely by the appended 20 claims.

| | EII | TABL | • |
|----|----------------------|---|------|
| | | Heats of Explosion, of Density, and Melti | ł |
| 25 | Density (grs/cc) | _ | |
| 2. | 1.70 | · - | TEFO |
| _ | 1.72 | NA | BFD! |
| | | gredients | Ing |
| _ | Melting Points (°C.) | Otto-2 Fuel | TEFO |
| 30 | -36.5 | 100 | |
| | - 39.5 | 90 | 10 |

TABLE II-continued

| Н | eats of Explosion, ca Density, and Meltin | | |
|----|--|--------------|--|
| 15 | 85 | -40.5 | |
| 20 | 80 | -42.0 | |
| 25 | 75 | -43.5 | |
| 30 | 70 | -45.0 | |
| 35 | 65 | -46.5 | |
| 40 | 60 | -47.0 | |

TABLE III

| Perce | nt | | Heats of Explosion |
|-------------|------|---------|---------------------------|
| Otto-2 Fuel | TEFO | NOS 365 | \mathbf{E}_c (cal/gram) |
| 100 | | | -732.8 |
| 60 | 40 | | 942.6 |
| 50 | 50 | _ | — 1083.5 |
| 40 | 60 | | — 1204.7 |
| _ | | 100 | 893.2 |
| | | | Cavity Drop Test |

| Percent | t | _ | cm | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Otto-II Fuel | to-II Fuel TEFO | | (2 kilogram weight used | | | |
| 100 | | _ | 18 | | | |
| 40 | 60 | *** | 10.4 | | | |
| 50 | 50 | _ | 3.4 | | | |
| _ | _ | .100 | 6 to 7 | | | |

NO SET (TEGDN = 96, Dibutylsebacate = 3% Ethyl (entralite = 1%)

Density

BFDNA 1.72 TEFO 0 DITEFO 1.83

TABLE IV

| | TEFO, Otto-2 Fuel Formulations | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Ingredient TEFO Otto-II Fuel Const. Vol. T. (*K.) Const. Press. T. (*K.)* Gas (moles/100 g) (ft-lb/lb) ISP (lb-sec/lb) | 100 2194 1390 5.417 330755 205.3 | 5 95 2251 1434 5.401 338408 206.9 | 10 90 2314 1503 5.358 345008 208.8 | 15 85 2383 1615 5.387 350703 211.3 | 20 80 2463 1753 5.191 355732 214.5 | 25 75 2556 1901 5.075 360995 218.3 | 30 70 2671 2052 4.946 367656 222.7 | 35 65 2813 2234 4.811 376618 227.6 | 40 60 2979 2363 4.671 38740 232.7 | |
| TEFO Otto-2 Fuel Const. Vol. T. (*K.) Const. Press. T. (*K.)* Gas (moles/100 g) (ft-lb/lb) ISP (lb-sec/lb) | | 45 55 3160 2522 4.533 3989599 237.7 | 50 50 3347 2681 4.394 40931 242.5 | 55 45 353 283 4.2 4 4 419 24 | 38 58 9327 | 60 40 2987 251.2 | 65 35 3120 - 3.994 255 | 70 30 3229 — 3.876 258.4 | 75 25 3307 — 3.769 261.1 | |

*Constant pressure at 1000 psi

TABLE V

| • | Diethyl Oxalate and TEFO Formulations | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Ingredient | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diethyl Oxalate | | 10 | 30 | | 50 | 70 | | 90 | | | |
| TEFO | | 90 | 70 | | 50 | 30 | | 10 | | | |
| Const. Vol. T. (°K.)* | | 4394 | · 286 | 6 | 1994 | 166 | | 1314 | | | |
| Const. Pre. T. (°K.)** | | 3326 | 229 | 1 | 1283 | 1161 | | 1010 | | | |
| Gas (moles/100 grs) | | 3.561 | 4.31 | 17 | 4.746 | 4.13 | 32 | 3.534 | | | |
| IMPETUS (ft-lb/lb) | | 446467 | 344 | 124 | 268111 | 198 | 720 | 126135 | | | |
| Isp (lb-sec/lb) | | 259.1 | 221 | .3 | 186.8 | 167 | .7 | 144.6 | | | |
| metyl ethyl Ketare | 10 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 70 | 90 | | | |
| TEFO | 90 | 80 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 50 | 30 | 10 | | | |
| Const. Vol. T. (°K.)* | 4154 | 3032 | 2335 | 2166 | 2057 | 1867 | 1527 | 1145 | | | |
| Const. Pre. T. (°K.)** | 3249 | 2407 | 1527 | 1380 | 1334 | 1258 | 1119 | 930 | | | |
| Gas (moles/100 grs) | 3.829 | 4.573 | 5.333 | 5.300 | 5.174 | 4.902 | 4.326 | 3.785 | | | |
| IMPETUS (ft-lb/lb) | 445821 | 385580 | 339034 | 316927 | 296880 | 254507 | 176527 | | | | |
| Isp (lb-sec/.lb) | 258.0 | 232.2 | 207.3 | 202.0 | 197.5 | 188.5 | 168.4 | 143.3 | | | |

^{*}Chamber pressure at 50000 psi

^{**}Chamber pressure at 1000 psi

TABLE VI

| Diethyl Oxalate and TEFO Formulations | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--|--|
| Ingredient | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diethyl Oxalate | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 4 0 | | |
| TEFO | 68 | 67 | 66 | 65 | 64 | 63 | 62 | 61 | 6 0 | | |
| Const. Vol. T. (*K.) | 2697 | 2641 | 2574 | 2513 | 2457 | 2406 | 2360 | 2318 | 2278 | | |
| Const. Press. T. (*K.) | 2151 | 2081 | 2012 | 1943 | 1875 | 1807 | 1740 | 1673 | 1608 | | |
| Gas (moles/100 g) | 4.408 | 4.454 | 4.499 | 4.545 | 4.591 | 4.636 | 4.682 | 4.726 | 4.770 | | |
| IMPETUS (ft-lb.lb) | 330923 | 326820 | 321659 | 316988 | 312814 | 309073 | 305665 | 299421 | | | |
| Isp (lb-sec/lb) | 216.2 | 213.7 | 211.2 | 208.9 | 206.6 | 204.4 | 202.4 | 200.4 | 198.6 | | |

TABLE VII

| Thermo | Thermodynamic and Test Data on Fuels of This Invention | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--------|------------|------------|------|--------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| TEFO (% wt) | 67 | 64 | 7 0 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 70 | 65 | | |
| Diethyl Oxalate (% wt) | 33 | 36 | | _ | 17.5 | 25 | _ | 20 | | |
| Methyl Ethylketone (% wt) | | | 30 | 35 | 17.5 | 10 | | 15 | | |
| Acetone (% wt) | | | | | | | 30 | | | |
| Const. Vol. T. (*K.) | 2641 | 2457 | 2335 | 2166 | | 2353 | 2393 | 2304 | | |
| Const. Press. T. (*K.) | 2081 | 1875 | 1527 | 1380 | | 1677 | 1661 | 1558 | | |
| Gas (moles/100 g) | 4.545 | 4.591 | 5.333 | 5.300 | _ | 4.850 | 5.150 | 4.980 | | |
| Impetus (ft-lb.lb) | 326820 | 312814 | 339034 | 316929 | | 318185 | 338127 | 319518 | | |
| Isp (lb-sec/lb) | 216.2 | 206.6 | 207.3 | 202.0 | _ | 204.1 | 208.6 | 202.7 | | |
| H.O.E. (cal/g) | -845 | 803 | -816 | _ | _ | _ | 848 | 76 0 | | |
| Cavity drop test (cm) | 10.5 | 10.5 | 49 | _ | _ | _ | 50 | 4 9 | | |
| (2 kg wt.) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Density (g/cc) (25° C.) | 1.308 | 1.368 | 1.247 | _ | | _ | 1.257 | 1.301 | | |
| Exotherm T. (°C.) | 180 | 180 | 203 | _ | | _ | 209 | 203 | | |
| TEFO ppt. (°C.) | _* | _ | -30 | 25 | -45 | * | * | _* | | |

TABLE VIII

| Acetone, Methylethyl ketone and TEFO Formulations | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Ingredient | | | | | |
| Acetone | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 90 |
| TEFO | 90 | 70 | 50 | 30 | 10 |
| Const. Vol. T. (*K.)* | 4215 | 2393 | 1882 | 1543 | 1161 |
| Const. Pre. T. (*K.)** | 3275 | 1661 | 1258 | 1122 | 936 |
| Gas (moles/100 g) | 3.768 | 5.150 | 4.886 | 4.306 | 3.762 |
| Impetus (ft-lb/lb) | 446399 | 338127 | 259341 | 180262 | 116279 |
| lsp (lb-sec/lb) | 258.1 | 208.6 | 188.1 | 168.2 | 143.1 |
| Diethyl Oxalate | | 30 | 25 20 | | 20 |
| TEFÓ | | 65 | 65 | | 65 |
| Const. Vol. T. (*K.) | | 2424 | 2358 | | 2304 |
| Const. Press. T. (*K.) | | 1807 | 1677 | | 1558 |
| Gas (moles/100 grs) | | 4.698 | 4.850 | | 4.980 |
| Impetus (ft-lb/lb) | | 316916 | 318185 | | 319518 |
| lsp (lb-sec/.lb) | | 206.2 | 204 | 1.1 | 202.7 |

What is claimed as new and desired to be secured by Letters Patent of the United States is:

1. A liquid fuel formulation comprising a mixture of from about 5 to 40% of a high energy ingredient selected from the group consisting of bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)amine, bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl)formal and bis(2,2,2-trinitroethyl)formal, and

from 60 to 95% of a mixture of propylene glycol dinitrate, 2-nitrodiphenylamine and di-n-butyl sebacate.

- 2. A liquid fuel formulation comprising a mixture of a high energy ingredient selected from the group consisting of bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl) amine, bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl) formal and bis(2,2,2-trinitroethyl) formal mixed with diethyl oxalate and methyl ethyl ketone.
- 3. The formulation of claim 2 wherein the amount of the high energy ingredient is in the range of 50 to 90%.
 - 4. A liquid fuel composition comprising a mixture of propylene glycol dinitrate, 2 nitrodiphenylamine and di-n-butyl sebacate with bis (2,2,2-trinitroethyl) formal.
 - 5. The composition of claim 4 wherein the amount of the bis (2,2,2-trinitroethyl) formal is within the range of 10% to 40% by weight.
 - 6. A liquid fuel composition comprising a mixture of a high energy ingredient selected from the group consisting of bis (2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl) amine, bis(2-fluoro-2,2-dinitroethyl) formal and bis(2,2,2-trinitroethyl) formal mixed with a small quantity of a melting point depressant selected from the group consisting acetone, methyl ethyl ketone and diethyl oxalate.
 - 7. The liquid fuel formulation of claim 6 wherein the amount of the high energy ingredient varies between 30 and 90% of the mixture.
 - 8. The liquid fuel of claim 6 wherein the amount of the melting point depressant varies between 10 and 30% by weight of the total mixture.

60